UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,406	09/01/2006	Tomihisa Kamada	448252001800	2523
	7590 01/26/200 FOERSTER LLP		EXAMINER	
425 MARKET STREET			DAGLAWI, AMAR A	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be available under the provision of 30° FR1-1360, in no event, news, may a reply be timely filled. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period vill apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malting date of this communication. Fallur to reply within the sort or extended period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period vill apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malting date of this communication. Fallur to reply within the sort or extended period for reply in they statistic, cause the application become ABANDONIC, 50 to 25 (133). Arry pay received by the Office liser than these months affect the making date of this communication, even if timely filed may reduce any search granted than the magnification. Status Status Status 1) Responsive to communication (s) filled on 21 November 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-7,9-16,18-27 and 29-32 is/are pending in the application. 4) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 01 September 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S. C. § 119 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S. C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
AMAR DAGLAW — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. — If NO period for reply is seatled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SM (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. — If NO period for reply is seatled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SM (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. — If NO period for reply is seatled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SM (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. — If NO period for reply is seatled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SM (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. — If NO period for reply is seatled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SM (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. — If NO period for reply is seatled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SM (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is period for the communication. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above. — If NO period for reply is seatled above	Office Action Comment	10/591,406	KAMADA, TOMIHISA					
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHIGHEVER IS LONGER. FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estatement of mem pute availates under the provisions of 3° CFR 11365. In own event, however, may netry be time fined I MO period for reply is appelled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply will the set or extended period for reply its patatile, cause the application from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply will the set or extended period for reply its patatile, cause the application from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply will the set or extended period for reply its patatile, cause the application from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply will the set of the s	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - In the second of the many be available under the provisions of 37 GF1 1.39(a). In one event, however, may a many be timely filled. - If No period to reply is specified above, the maximum station prior of will apply admit on party be defined by the communication. - Falace to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statistic prior of will apply statistic on the second patient for the second patient for mailing date of this communication, even if filled prior discovery and the second patient form adjustment. See 37 GFR 1.794(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 21 November 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL.		AMAR DAGLAWI	2618					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension 5 tom may be available under the provisions of 30° FR1:13816, inn event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MCNTHS from the mailing date of his communication. Failur to recy which his est or excented period for regive 1.0 yet ability and the spire SIX (8) MCNTHS from the mailing date of his communication. Failur to recy which his est or excented period for regive 1.0 yet ability cause the application to Excente ABMONDING SIX (9) Six (7) MCNTHS from the mailing date of his communication, very reply received by the Office learn than three months after the mailing date of his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any same operation and six of the mailing date of his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any same operation is FINAL. 1) ■ Responsive to communication (s) filed on 21 November 2008. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is finAL. 2b) ■ This action is finAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1,3-7,9-16,18-27 and 29-32 is/are pending in the application. 4) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ■ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ■ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ■ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 10) ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Application provided the provided of the provided of the declaration of the declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2008. 2a	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1,3-7,9-16,18-27 and 29-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 September 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of None of: 1	Status							
2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1,3-7,9-16,18-27 and 29-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 September 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of None of: 1	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No.	ovember 2008						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-7,9-16,18-27 and 29-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 30 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 31 Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 32 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 33 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 34 Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 35 Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 36 Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 37 Noti	·=		secution as to the merits is					
Application Papers 9								
4) Claim(s) 1,3-7,9-16,18-27 and 29-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 September 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Informat Patent Application	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte quayre, 1000 G.B. 11, 10	0.0.210.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) ☒ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) ☒ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9-16,18-27 and 29-32</u> is/are pen	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1.3-7.9-16.18-27 and 29-32 is/are pending in the application.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
6) Claim(s) 1.3-7.9-16.18-27 and 29-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \$\textit{91}\$ September \$2006\$ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Altachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	ected.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on O1 September 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☑ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☒ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	election requirement						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <i>Q1 September 2006</i> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 September 2006</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 September 2006</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r .						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column Some of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Partisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☒ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 10 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * Notice of Informal Patent Application								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Trip The ball of declaration is objected to by the Ex-	arrimer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F10-132.					
a) ☐ All b) ☒ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		<u> </u>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Attachment(s)	_ ·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application		or the continue copies have essente	.					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)	-	(777, 440)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	· -	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/591,406 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7, 9-16, 18-27, 29-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection in view of the amendment filed 11/21/2008.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/21/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,406

Art Unit: 2618

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

5. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-13, 15-16, 18-27, 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takayama (EP 0950 968 A1) in view of Okkonen et al (US 2004/0166839 A1).

With respect to claim 1, Takayama discloses a wireless communication terminal

synchronization method in which data stored in a memory in each of plural wireless communication terminals is synchronized with each other, when a user selectively utilizes the plural wireless communication terminals by using a single subscriber information card, at least communicating operation in each of the wireless communication terminals being enabled by mounting thereon the subscriber information card that records subscriber information, the method comprising the steps of: uploading from a first wireless communication terminal with the subscriber information card being mounted, to a server via a communication network, updated part of data which is stored in a memory in the first wireless communication terminal, in accordance with a user's request or automatically said uploading being performed with only data updated after the subscriber information card was mounted on the first wireless communication terminal while leaving the uploaded data in the memory in the first wireless communication terminal wherein said uploading is allowed to be executed at least under conditions that the user is confirmed to be an authenticated user of said subscriber information card and an authenticated user of the first wireless communication terminal (Fig.1, #100, #110, abstract, par [2106-2111])

updating contents in a user's data storage area with the data being uploaded, in the server (par [2111]);

downloading the data to a second wireless communication terminal via the communication network from the server, the data being confirmed in accordance with a user's request or automatically, as data to be downloaded to the second wireless communication terminal from the server, after the subscriber information card having been demounted is mounted on the second wireless communication terminal said downloading being performed with only data which is present in the storage area of the server and which is not present in the memory of the memory of the second wireless communication terminal wherein said downloading is allowed to be executed at least under conditions that the user is confirmed to be an authenticated user of said subscriber information card and an authenticated user of the second wireless communication terminal (Fig.1, par [2111-2115); and [The data updated and uploaded to the server is kept in the memory of the terminal 139 and the same data is downloaded to a terminal that differs when attaching the SIM card. Also, uploading occurs when authenticating the user after entering a code number that matches a code number stored in the nonvolatile memory] (See par [2111-2112])

updating the contents of the memory in the second wireless communication terminal, said uploading being performed with only data updated after the subscriber information card was mounted on the second wireless communication terminal, while leaving the uploaded data in the memory in the second wireless communication terminal, wherein said uploading is allowed to be executed at least under conditions that the user is

confirmed to be an authenticated user of said subscriber information card and an authenticated user of the second wireless communication terminal.(par [2111-2115]).

However, Takayama fails to teach said uploading being performed with only data updated after the subscriber information card was mounted on the first wireless communication terminal while leaving the uploaded data in the memory in the first wireless communication terminal even when the subscriber information card is dismounted from the first wireless communication terminal which is taught in the same field of endeavor by Okkonen (see Fig.5, par [0036-0042], Fig.1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Takayama the terminal with the agent as taught by Okkonen so as to determine any changes to SIM card.

With respect to claim 3, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches uploading is allowed to be executed at least under conditions that the wireless communication terminal that requested the uploading is confirmed to be a terminal being associated with said subscriber with said subscriber information card in advance (par [2109;2112, par [2115]).

With respect to claim 4, Takayama in view Okkonen further teaches the conditions are confirmed on the terminal side (par [2111-2115].

With respect to claim 5, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches the conditions are confirmed on the server side (par [2111-2115]).

With respect to claim 6, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches data attribute as a target of said uploading and said downloading is registered in advance and in each of the terminals only the data having the data attribute registered in each own terminal becomes the target of the uploading and the downloading (par [2106-2115])

With respect to claim 7, Takayama discloses A wireless communication system comprising plural wireless communication terminals at least communication operation of which is enabled by mounting a subscriber information card recording subscriber information, and a server that is connected with the wireless communication terminals via a communication network, said server comprising:

a communication interface which performs data communication with said wireless communication terminals via the communication network (Fig.1, #110, par [2106; 2109]).

a storage unit which includes a storage area to store a copy of the data stored in said plural wireless communication terminals (Fig.140, #14000, par [2106; 2109]); and a server side synchronization engine which synchronizes the data of a user stored in the wireless communication terminals and the data stored in the storage unit according to a request from any one of said wireless communication terminals (Fig.1, #110; par [2106;2109], par [2111-2115]) [When attaching SIM card to a different terminal data is downloaded and synchronization (data consistency between the plural mobiles) of the data is achieved];

Application/Control Number: 10/591,406

Art Unit: 2618

each of the wireless communication terminals comprising:

a card reader which detachably mounts a subscriber information card (Fig.140, #14001);

a wireless communication interface which is operable when said subscriber information card is mounted (Fig.140; #14001; par [2111; 2112]);

a memory (Fig.140, #1501) which stores user data; and a terminal side synchronization engine (Fig.140, #14000) which requests execution of synchronization to said server after said subscriber information card is mounted, uploading or downloading of data being executed with said server as required said uploading being performed with only data updated after the subscriber information card was mounted on the wireless communication terminal leaving the uploaded data in the memory and said downloading being performed with only data which is present in the storage unit of the server and which is not present in the memory of the wireless communication terminal (par [2106-2115]); and at least either one of said server and each of said wireless communication terminals further comprising:

an authentication engine (SIM card, Fig.140, #14000) which allows only plural wireless communication terminals possessed by an identical user, to perform synchronization as to the user data of the user in the storage unit of said server (par [2106;2109], par [2111;2115]).

said authentication engine being adapted to allow synchronization to be executed at least under conditions that the user who requested the synchronization is confirmed to

be an authenticated user of said subscriber information card and an authenticated user of the terminal (par [2111-2115]).

However, Takayama fails to teach said uploading being performed with only data updated after the subscriber information card was mounted on the first wireless communication terminal while leaving the uploaded data in the memory in the first wireless communication terminal even when the subscriber information card is dismounted from the first wireless communication terminal which is taught in the same field of endeavor by Okkonen (see Fig.5, par [0036-0042], Fig.1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Takayama the terminal with the agent as taught by Okkonen so as to determine any changes to SIM card.

With respect to claim 9, Takayama in view of Okkonen further discloses said authentication engine confirms that the user of the terminal that requested the synchronization is an authenticated user of said subscriber information card on the basis of personal identification information being associated with said subscriber information card (par [2109; 2112], par [2115]).

With respect to claim 10, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches authentication engine (SIM card) confirms that the user is an authenticated user of the terminal on the basis of personal identification information being associated with the wireless communication terminal (par [2109; 2112], par [2115]).

With respect to claim 11, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches authentication engine allows executing the synchronization under conditions that the terminal that requested the synchronization is confirmed to be the terminal that is associated with the subscriber information card in advance (par [2109; 2112], par [2115]) [The confirmation occurs when attaching the SIM card to the terminal].

With respect to claim 12, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches authentication engine is provided in the terminal so as to store in a memory of the terminal the subscriber information card, and in performing authentication, it is checked whether the subscriber identification information of the subscriber information card mounted on the wireless communication terminal and the subscriber identification information stored in the memory of the terminal as a target for authentication match with each other, thereby confirming that the terminal requested the synchronization is a terminal being associated with said subscriber information card in advance (par [2109; 2115]).

With respect to claim 13, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches said authentication engine is provided in the terminal so as to store in the memory of the subscriber information card mounted on the terminal, the terminal identification information recorded in the terminal, and in performing authentication, it is checked whether any of the plural terminal identification information stored in the memory of the subscriber information card and the terminal identification information recorded in the terminal as a target of the authentication match with each other, thereby confirming that the terminal requested the synchronization is a terminal being associated with the

subscriber information card in advance (par [2109;2115]) [The confirmation occurs when attaching the SIM card to the terminal].

With respect to claim 15, Takayama discloses A wireless communication terminal at least communication operation of which is enabled by mounting a subscriber information card that records subscriber information, said terminal comprising:

a card reader which detachably mounts a subscriber information card (Fig.140, #14002);

a wireless communication interface which is operable when the subscriber information card is mounted (Fig.1, #140; #1517)

a memory means which stores user data (Fig.1, #1501); and a terminal side synchronization engine (Fig.140, #14000) which requests execution of synchronization to the server on the communication network after the subscriber information card is mounted, and executes uploading or downloading of data with the server as required said uploading being performed with only data updated after the subscriber information card was mounted on the terminal leaving the uploaded data in the memory and said downloading being performed with only data which is present in a storage unit of a server and which is not present in the memory of the terminal and wherein said synchronization engine allows the synchronization to be executed at least under conditions that the user of the terminal who requested the synchronization is confirmed to be an authenticated user of said subscriber information card and an authenticated user of the terminal (Fig.140, par [2106-2115]).

However, Takayama fails to teach said uploading being performed with only data updated after the subscriber information card was mounted on the first wireless communication terminal while leaving the uploaded data in the memory in the first wireless communication terminal even when the subscriber information card is dismounted from the first wireless communication terminal which is taught in the same field of endeavor by Okkonen (see Fig.5, par [0036-0042], Fig.1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Takayama the terminal with the agent as taught by Okkonen so as to determine any changes to SIM card.

With respect to claim 16, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches authentication engine (SIM card) which allows only plural wireless communication terminals possessed by an identical user to perform synchronization for the user data of the user in a storage unit in said server (Fig.140, #14000, par [2111-2115]).

With respect to claim 18, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches said authentication engine confirms that the user of the terminal that requested the synchronization is an authenticated user of said subscriber information card on the basis of personal Identification information being associated with said subscriber information card (par [2109; 2112], par [2115]).

With respect to claim 19, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches authentication engine (SIM card) confirms that the user is an authenticated user of the terminal on the basis of personal identification information being associated with the wireless communication terminal (par [2109; 2112], par [2115]).

With respect to claim 20, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches authentication engine allows executing the synchronization under conditions that the terminal that requested the synchronization is confirmed to be the terminal that is associated with the subscriber information card in advance (par [2109; 2112], par [2115]) [The confirmation occurs when attaching the SIM card to the terminal].

With respect to claim 21, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches authentication stores in the subscriber identification information recorded in said subscriber information card and performing authentication, it is checked whether the subscriber identification information of the subscriber information card mounted on the terminal and the subscriber identification information stored in the memory of the terminal as a target for authentication match with each other, thereby confirming that the terminal requested the synchronization is a terminal being associated with said subscriber information card in advance (par [2109-2115]).

With respect to claim 22, Takayama in view Okkonen further teaches said authentication engine stores the terminal identification information recorded in the terminal in the memory in the subscriber information card mounted on the terminal, and in performing authentication, it is checked whether any of the plural terminal identification information stored in the memory in the subscriber information card and the terminal identification information recorded in the terminal as a target of the

authentication match with each other, thereby confirming that the terminal requested the synchronization is a terminal being associated with the subscriber information card in advance (par [2109-2115]) [The confirmation occurs when attaching the SIM card to the terminal].

With respect to claim 23, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches a card detector (Fig.140, #14002) which detects mounting and/or demounting of said subscriber information card, wherein, said terminal side synchronization engine (SIM card, Fig.140, 14000) accesses said server triggered by detecting the mounting and/or demounting of said subscriber information card, and requests execution of the synchronization (par [2111;2115]).

With respect to claim 24, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches a battery remaining amount detecting section said terminal side synchronization engine accesses said server triggered when the battery remaining amount becomes a predetermined level or less and requests execution of synchronization including at least data uploading (par [2113-2117]).

With respect to claim 25, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches said terminal side synchronization engine is provided with a judging engine which judges whether or not the terminal or not the terminal is in idle state and executes the synchronization process when said judging engine determines that the terminal is in idle state (par [2106-2108]).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,406 Page 14

Art Unit: 2618

With respect to claim 26, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches said terminal synchronization engine accesses said server in response to a directive from a user and uploads data as a target for uploading and then erases a predetermined data in the terminal all at once (par [2106-2108]).

With respect to claim 27, Takayama discloses A server being connected via a communication network with plural wireless communication terminals at least communication operation of which is enabled by mounting thereon a subscriber information card that records subscriber information, said server comprising:

a communication interface which performs data communication with said wireless communication terminals via the communication network (Fig.140, #110);

a storage unit which has a storage area to store a copy of the data that is stored in said plural wireless communication terminals (Fig.140, #14000, par [2106; 2109]); a server side synchronization engine which performs synchronization with said wireless communication terminals for user data stored in said storage unit, in accordance with a request from said wireless communication terminals said synchronization being performed with only data updated after the subscriber information card was mounted on one of the wireless communication terminals while leaving uploaded data stored in the wireless communication terminal (Fig.1, #110, par [2106; 2109], par [2110-2115) [when

attaching SIM card to a different terminal data is downloaded and synchronization is achieved]; and

an authentication engine (Fig.140, #14000) which allows only plural wireless communication terminals possessed by an identical user to perform synchronization for the user data of the user in the storage unit wherein said authentication engine allow synchronization to be executed at least under conditions that the user is confirmed to be an authenticated user of said subscriber information card and an authenticated user of the wireless communication terminal on which said subscriber information card is mounted (par [2111-2115], par [2106-2109]).

However, Takayama fails to teach said uploading being performed with only data updated after the subscriber information card was mounted on the first wireless communication terminal while leaving the uploaded data in the memory in the first wireless communication terminal even when the subscriber information card is dismounted from the first wireless communication terminal which is taught in the same field of endeavor by Okkonen (see Fig.5, par [0036-0042], Fig.1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Takayama the terminal with the agent as taught by Okkonen so as to determine any changes to SIM card.

With respect to claim 29, Takayama in view of Okkonen further discloses said authentication engine confirms that the user of the terminal that requested the synchronization is an authenticated user of said subscriber information card on the

basis of personal identification information being associated with said subscriber information card (par [2109; 2112], par [2115]).

With respect to claim 30, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches authentication engine (SIM card) confirms that the user is an authenticated user of the terminal on the basis of personal identification information being associated with the wireless communication terminal (par [2109; 2112], par [2115]).

With respect to claim 31, Takayama in view of Okkonen further teaches authentication engine allows executing the synchronization under conditions that the terminal that requested the synchronization is confirmed to be the terminal that is associated with the subscriber information card in advance (par [2109; 2112], par [2115]) [The confirmation occurs when attaching the SIM card to the terminal].

6. Claims 14 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takayama (EP 0950 968 A1) and Okkonen in view of Utsumi (US 2005/0125344 A1).

With respect to claims 14 and 32, Takayama and Okkonen teaches all the limitations of claims 7 and 31 except for a server comprises subscriber managing database to register the subscriber identification information of the subscriber information card and terminal identification information of plural wireless communication terminals of the user in such a manner as being associated with each other; said authentication engine receiving from the wireless communication terminal, subscriber identification information recorded in said subscriber information card and terminal

identification information of the wireless communication terminal on which the subscriber information card is mounted, and confirming that the terminal identification information thus received is registered in the subscriber managing database, in such a manner as being associated with the subscriber identification information thus received, thereby confirming that the terminal that requested the synchronization is a terminal that is associated with said subscriber information card in advance which is taught in related art by Utsumi (See par [0011]).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Takayama to incorporate a server and a plurality of member-users and a database as taught by Utsumi so as to provide personal information verification method in an electronic commerce system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMAR DAGLAWI whose telephone number is (571)270-1221. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (7:30 AM- 5:00 AM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NGUYEN DUC can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,406 Page 18

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amar Daglawi Examiner Art Unit 2618

/Amar Daglawi/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618

/Duc Nguyen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618